

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ  
ҒЫЛЫМ ЖӘНЕ ЖОҒАРЫ БІЛІМ МИНИСТРЛІГІ  
ҒЫЛЫМ КОМИТЕТІ  
Ш.Ш. УӘЛИХАНОВ АТЫНДАҒЫ ТАРИХ ЖӘНЕ ЭТНОЛОГИЯ ИНСТИТУТЫ



# «EDU.E-HISTORY.KZ» ЭЛЕКТРОНДЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМИ ЖУРНАЛЫ



№3 (31) шілде-қыркүйек 2022

ISSN 2710-3994



**Бас редактор:**

Қабылдинов Зиябек Ермұқанұлы

**Редакциялық алқа:**

*Абашиин Сергей Николаевич – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Санкт-Петербургтегі Европа университеті (Ресей)*

*Абдырахманов Толобек Абылович – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, И. Арабаев атындағы Қызғыз мемлекеттік университетінің ректоры. (Қырғызстан)*

*Аяган Бүркітбай Фелманұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР БҒМ ҒК Мемлекет тарихы институты директорының орынбасары. (Қазақстан)*

*Әлімбаев Нұрсан – тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, профессор, Қазақстан Республикасы Орталық мемлекеттік музейінің директоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Әбусейтова Меруерт Қуатқызы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА корр.-мүшесі. ҚР БҒМ ҒК Р. Сүлейменов атындағы Шығыстану институтының «Тарихи материалдарды зерттеу» орталығының директоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Әбіл Еркін Аманжолұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР БҒМ ҒК Мемлекет тарихы институтының директоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Голден Кэтти Стромайл (Kathie Stromile Golden) – PhD, Миссисипи өңірлік мемлекеттік университеті (Mississippi Valley State University) (АҚШ)*

*Кәрібаев Берекет Бақытжанұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, «Қазақстан тарихы» кафедрасының меңгерушісі. (Қазақстан)*

*Қожамжарова Дария Пернешқызы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, М. Әуезов атындағы Оңтүстік Қазақстан университетінің ректоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Кожирова Светлана Басиевна – саясаттану ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Фудан Университетінің Қытай және Орталық Азияны зерттеу орталығының мен «Астана» ХҒК бірлескен директоры (Қазақстан)*

*Козодой Виктор Иванович – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор. (Ресей)*

*Көкебаева Гүлжауһар Какенқызы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР БҒМ ҒК Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты (Қазақстан)*

*Көмеков Болат Ешмұхамедұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті Халықаралық қыпшақтану институтының директоры, Л.Н.Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің профессоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Матыжанов Кенжехан Ісләмжанұлы – филология ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА корр.-мүшесі, М.О. Әуезов атындағы әдебиет және өнер институтының директоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Моррисон Александр (Morrison Alexander) – PhD, профессор Оксфордского университета (Великобритания)*

*Муртазаева Рахборхон Хамидқызы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, Мирзо Ұлықбек атындағы Өзбекстан ұлттық университеті «Өзбекстан тарихы» кафедрасының профессоры. (Өзбекстан)*

*Панто Дмитрий (Panto Dmitri) – PhD доктор, Гданьск қаласындағы Екінші дүниежүзілік соғыс мұражайының ғылыми қызметкері. (Польша)*

*Римантас Желвис (Želvyus Rimantas) – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Вильнюс педагогикалық университеті (Литва)*

*Самашев Зайнолла Самашұлы – археолог, тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Герман археология институтының корр.-мүшесі. ҚР БҒМ ҒК Ә. Марғұлан атындағы Археология институты. (Қазақстан)*

*Сайлан Болат Санабайұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті. (Қазақстан)*

*Смағұлов Оразақ Смағұлұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Балон ғылым академиясының корр.-мүшесі, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы сыйлықтың лауреаты, ғылым мен техниканың еңбек сіңірген қайраткері, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің профессоры. (Нұр-Сұлтан, Қазақстан)*

*Сыдықов Ерлан Бәтташұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің ректоры. (Қазақстан)*

*Таймағамбетов Жәкен Қожахметұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, ҚР Ұлттық музейі. (Қазақстан)*

**Жауапты редактор:**

Қаипбаева Айнагүл Толғанбайқызы

**Ғылыми редактор:**

Қозыбаева Махаббат Мәлікқызы

**Редактор:**

Кубеев Рустем Жаулыбайұлы

**Жауапты хатшы:**

Раушан Жәліқызы

**Техникалық хатшы:**

Хумарзах Алтынбек

**Главный редактор:**  
Кабульдинов Зиябек Ермуханович

**Редакционная коллегия:**

*Абашин Сергей Николаевич – доктор исторических наук, профессор, Европейский университет в Санкт-Петербурге (Россия)*

*Абдырахманов Толобек Абылович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, ректор Кыргызского государственного университета им. И. Арабаева (Кыргызстан)*

*Алимбай Нурсан – кандидат исторических наук, профессор, директор Центрального государственного музея Республики Казахстан (Казахстан)*

*Абусеитова Меруерт Хуатовна – доктор исторических наук, профессор, чл.-корр. НАН РК, директор Республиканского центра по изучению исторических материалов Института востоковедения имени Р.Б. Сулейменова (Казахстан)*

*Абиль Еркин Аманжолович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, директор Института истории государства КН МОН РК (Казахстан)*

*Аязан Буркитбай Гелманович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, заместитель директора Института истории государства КН МОН РК (Казахстан)*

*Голден Кэтти Стромайл (Kathie Stromile Golden) – PhD, Государственный университет долины Миссисипи (Mississippi Valley State University) (США)*

*Исмагулов Оразак Исмагулович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, член-корр. Болонской академии наук, лауреат премии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова, заслуженный деятель науки и техники, профессор Евразийского национального университета имени Л.Н. Гумилева (г. Нур-Султан, Казахстан)*

*Карибаев Берекет Бахытжанович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, заведующий кафедрой истории Казахстана, Казахский национальный университет им. аль-Фараби (Казахстан)*

*Кожамжарова Дария Пернешовна – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, ректор Южно-Казахстанского университета им. М.Ауэзова (Казахстан)*

*Кожирова Светлана Басиевна – доктор политических наук, профессор, содиректор Центра исследования Китая и Центральной Азии Фуданьского Университета и МНК «Астана», руководитель Центра китайских и азиатских исследований (Казахстан)*

*Козодой Виктор Иванович – доктор исторических наук, профессор (Россия)*

*Кокебаева Гульжаухар Какеновна – доктор исторических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч.Валиханова КН МОН РК (Казахстан)*

*Кумеков Болат Ешмухамбетович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, директор Международного института кипчаковедения Казахского национального университета имени аль-Фараби, профессор Евразийского национального университета имени Л.Н. Гумилева (Казахстан)*

*Матыжанов Кенжехан Слямжанович – доктор филологических наук, профессор, чл.-корр. НАН РК, директор Института литературы и искусства им. М. Ауэзова (Казахстан)*

*Моррисон Александр (Morrison Alexander) – PhD, профессор Оксфордского университета (Великобритания)*

*Муртазаева Рахборхон Хамидовна – доктор исторических наук, профессор кафедры «Истории Узбекистана» Национального университета Узбекистана имени Мирзо Улугбека. (Узбекистан)*

*Панто Дмитрий (Panto Dmitri) – доктор PhD, профессор, главный специалист научного отдела Музея Второй мировой войны г. Гданьска (Польша)*

*Римантас Желвис (Želvyys Rimantas) – доктор педагогических наук, профессор, Вильнюсский педагогический университет (Литва)*

*Самашев Зайнолла Самашевич – археолог, доктор исторических наук, профессор, чл.-корр. Германского археологического института. Институт археологии им. А.Маргулана КН МОН РК (Казахстан)*

*Сайлан Болат Санабаевич – доктор исторических наук, Казахский национальный университет им. аль-Фараби (Казахстан)*

*Сыдыков Ерлан Батташевич – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, ректор Евразийского национального университета им. Л.Н. Гумилева (Казахстан)*

*Таймагамбетов Жакен Кожакметович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, Национальный музей РК (Казахстан)*

**Ответственный редактор:**  
Каипбаева Айнагуль Толганбаевна

**Научный редактор:**  
Козыбаева Махаббат Маликовна

**Редактор:**  
Кубеев Рустан Джаулыбайулы

**Ответственный секретарь:**

Раушан Жәліқызы

**Технический секретарь:**

Хумарзах Алтынбек

**Editor-In-Chief:**

Kabuldinov Ziabek Ermukhanovich

**Members of editorial board:**

*Abashin Sergei Nikolaevich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, European University at St. Petersburg (Russia)*

*Abdyrakhmanov Tolobek Abylovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Rector of I. Arabaev Kyrgyz State University (Kyrgyzstan)*

*Alimbay Nursan – Candidate of Historical Sciences, Professor, Director of the Central State Museum of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Kazakhstan)*

*Abusseitova Meruert Khuatovna – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Corresponding Member of the NAS RK, Director of the Republican Center for the Study of Historical Materials at R.B. Suleimenov Institute of Oriental Studies (Kazakhstan)*

*Abil Yerkin Amanzholovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Director of the Institute of History of the State CS MES RK (Kazakhstan)*

*Ayagan Burkitbai Gelmanovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Deputy Director of the Institute of History of the State CS MES RK (Kazakhstan)*

*Golden Kathie Stromile – PhD, Mississippi Valley State University (USA)*

*Ismagulov Orazak Ismagulovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Corresponding Member of Bologna Academy of Sciences, winner of Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Award, Honored Worker of Science and Technology, Professor of L.N. Gumilyov University (Kazakhstan)*

*Karibayev Bereket Bakhytzhonovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Head of the Department of History of Kazakhstan, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University (Kazakhstan)*

*Kozhamzharova Daria Perneshovna – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the NAS of the Republic of Kazakhstan, rector of the M. Auezov South Kazakhstan University (Kazakhstan)*

*Kozhirova Svetlana Bassieva – Doctor of Political Science, Professor, Co-Director of the Center for the Study of China and Central Asia of Fudan University and the International Scientific Complex of the National Company "Astana", Head of the Center for Chinese and Asian Studies (Kazakhstan)*

*Kozodoi Viktor Ivanovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor (Russia)*

*Kokebayeva Gulzhaukhar Kakenovna – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, chief researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology CS MES RK (Kazakhstan)*

*Kumekov Bolat Eshmukhambetovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Director of the International Institute of Kipchak Studies of the Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Professor at L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (Kazakhstan)*

*Matyzhanov Kenzhekhan Slyamzhanovich – Doctor of Philology, Professor, Corresponding Member of the NAS RK, Director of M. Auezov Institute of Literature and Art (Kazakhstan)*

*Morrison Alexander – PhD, Professor, University of Oxford (UK)*

*Murtazayeva Rakhborkhon Khamidovna – doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor at the Department of «History of Uzbekistan» of Mirzo Ulugbek National University of Uzbekistan. (Uzbekistan)*

*Panto Dmitri – PhD, researcher at the Museum of the Second World War in Gdansk (Poland)*

*Rimantas Želvys – Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Vilnius Pedagogical University (Lithuania)*

*Samashev Zainolla Samashevich – archaeologist, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Corresponding Member of German Archaeological Institute. A. Marghulan Institute of Archeology CS MES RK (Kazakhstan)*

*Sailan Bolat Sanabayevich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University (Kazakhstan)*

*Sydykov Erlan Battashevich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Rector of L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (Kazakhstan)*

*Taimagambetov Zhaken Kozhakhmetovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, National Museum of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Kazakhstan)*

**Executive Editor:**

Kaipbayeva Ainagul Tolganbayevna

**Scientific Editor:**

Kozybayeva Makhabbat Malikovna

**Editor:**

Kubeyev Rustem Dzhaulybayevich

**Executive Secretary**

Raushan Zhalikyzy

**Technical secretary:**

Khumarzak Altynbek

[https://doi.org/10.51943/2710-3994\\_2022\\_31\\_3\\_163-171](https://doi.org/10.51943/2710-3994_2022_31_3_163-171)

MRSTI 03.20.00

## **SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE COLLECTIVE FARMS OF KAZAKHSTAN IN THE POST-WAR PERIOD (1946 – 1953)**

**M.M. Kozybayeva\*** 

Branch of the Ch.Ch.Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology in Astana, Kazakhstan, Astana.

\*Corresponding author

E-mail: [koz.mahabbat\\_85@mail.ru](mailto:koz.mahabbat_85@mail.ru) (Kozybayeva).

**Abstract.** The author in the article studies the features of the socio-economic development of the collective farms of Kazakhstan in the post-war period. The post-war decade was difficult for the collective farms and the peasantry. The collective farms were faced with urgent problems of improving the culture of agriculture, supplying irrigation water, preserving social livestock, and also providing labor. Despite the crisis situation in the agrarian sector, the state continued to alienate a significant part of agricultural products from the collective farms, obligatory grain procurements were maintained. The solution of agricultural development issues was traditionally carried out within the framework of the command and administrative leadership, without using the principles of economic incentives. The implementation of a number of agrarian reforms to enlarge the collective farms only helped to restore the pre-war level of production. The restoration of agriculture led only to a slight improvement in the socio-economic situation of the collective farmers, and made it possible to solve the problem of supplying the city with food.

**Key words:** post-war period, collective farms, peasantry, grain procurement, animal husbandry, water supply, workdays, standard of living.

FTAMP 03.20.00

## **СОҒЫСТАН КЕЙІНГІ КЕЗЕҢДЕ ҚАЗАҚСТАН КОЛХОЗДАРЫНЫҢ ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК-ЭКОНОМИКАЛЫҚ ДАМУЫ (1946 – 1953)**

**М.М. Қозыбаева\***

Астана қаласындағы Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының филиалы, Қазақстан, Астана.

\*Корреспондент автор

E-mail: [koz.mahabbat\\_85@mail.ru](mailto:koz.mahabbat_85@mail.ru) (Қозыбаева).

**Аңдатпа.** Автор мақалада соғыстан кейінгі кезеңде Қазақстан колхоздарының әлеуметтік-экономикалық даму ерекшеліктерін зерттейді. Соғыстан кейінгі онжылдық колхоздар мен шаруалар үшін қиын кезең болды. Колхоздардың алдында егіншілік мәдениетін арттырудың, суармалы сумен жабдықтаудың, қоғамдық малдың сақталуының, сондай-ақ жұмыс күшімен қамтамасыз етудің өзекті мәселелері тұрды. Аграрлық сектордағы дағдарыстық жағдайға қарамастан, мемлекет колхоздардан ауылшаруашылық өнімдерінің едәуір бөлігін иеліктен шығаруды жалғастырды, міндетті нан дайындау жұмыстары сақталды. Ауыл шаруашылығын дамыту мәселелерін шешу экономикалық ынталандыру қағидаттарын пайдаланбай, командалық-әкімшілік басшылық шеңберінде дәстүрлі түрде жүргізілді. Колхоздарды күшейту бойынша бірқатар аграрлық реформаларды жүргізу соғысқа дейінгі өндіріс деңгейін қалпына келтіруге көмектесті. Ауыл шаруашылығын қалпына келтіру колхозшылардың әлеуметтік-экономикалық

жағдайының шамалы жақсаруына әкелді, қаланы азық-түлікпен қамтамасыз ету мәселесін шешуге мүмкіндік берді.

**Түйін сөздер:** соғыстан кейінгі кезең, колхоздар, шаруалар, астық дайындау, мал шаруашылығы, сумен жабдықтау, еңбек күндері, өмір сүру деңгейі.

МРНТИ 03.20.00

## СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКОЕ РАЗВИТИЕ КОЛХОЗОВ КАЗАХСТАНА В ПОСЛЕВОЕННЫЙ ПЕРИОД (1946 – 1953)

**М.М. Козыбаева\***

Филиал Института истории и этнологии имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова в г. Астана, Казахстан, Астана.

\*Корреспондирующий автор

E-mail: koz.mahabbat\_85@mail.ru (Козыбаева).

**Аннотация.** Автор в статье изучает особенности социально-экономического развития колхозов Казахстана в послевоенный период. Послевоенное десятилетие было трудным для колхозов и крестьянства. Перед колхозами стояли насущные проблемы повышения культуры земледелия, снабжения поливочной водой, сохранности общественного скота, а также обеспечения рабочей силой. Несмотря на кризисное положение в аграрном секторе, государство продолжало отчуждать значительную часть сельскохозяйственной продукции у колхозов, сохранялись обязательные хлебозаготовки. Решение вопросов развития сельского хозяйства шло традиционно в рамках командно-административного руководства, без использования принципов экономического стимулирования. Проведение ряда аграрных реформ по укрупнению колхозов лишь помогло восстановить довоенный уровень производства. Восстановление сельского хозяйства привело лишь к незначительному улучшению социально-экономического положения колхозников, позволило решить вопрос о снабжении города продовольствием.

**Ключевые слова:** послевоенный период, колхозы, крестьянство, хлебозаготовки, животноводство, водоснабжение, трудодни, уровень жизни.

**Introduction.** In the modern historiography of Kazakhstan, the study of the socio-economic history of the post-war period is of great importance. This is especially true for the agriculture of Kazakhstan, since the republic remained a large agro-industrial region. In the first post-war years, in 1946, natural disasters hit agriculture. The first post-war year was difficult for the country's agriculture. The drought of 1946 severely affected the agriculture of the war-affected areas. In this regard, the role of the eastern regions, including Kazakhstan, in providing the country with bread and meat increased even more.

Today, in the historical science of Kazakhstan, the issue of studying the post-war development of collective farms in the context of the consequences of military modernization in the 1920-1930s is being updated. The post-war period was marked by further pressure from the totalitarian regime. In particular, this affected the collective farm peasantry, whose life, in addition to daily exhausting work for workdays, was aggravated by tough laws and taxes, which were intended to restore the ruined economy. The situation of the peasantry was aggravated by the consequences of the drought and the famine that followed it in 1946-1947. In the central regions of Russia, which could not but affect the increased pressure on the Kazakh steppe. At the same time, there were many shortcomings and excesses in the organization of collective and state farm production in the republic in the post-war decade, the culture of agriculture remained extremely low, and public animal husbandry developed unsatisfactorily. Studies show that the agriculture of Kazakhstan could not provide the country with the necessary amount of food, and the industry - with agricultural raw materials, there was a shortage of food. Therefore, the country's leadership began to solve the problem in an extensive way: to develop vast areas of new lands, which led to a mass campaign to develop virgin and fallow lands.

**Materials and methods.** The article attempts to study the socio-economic development of the collective farms of Kazakhstan in the post-war decade in the context of socio-economic history. The methodological basis of the study is the principle of historicism, since the object of study is studied in its evolutionary development and undergoes changes in the designated period. The systematic approach allows us to present everyday life, which has diverse internal elements, connections and processes, as an integral part of a wider system of relationships, and the rural population of the region as an integral part of the country's population as a whole. The multidimensionality and complexity of the collective farm peasantry as an object of research is determined as one of the main interdisciplinary approaches. The study uses a synthesis of macro- and microhistorical approaches. All these areas were united by a single methodological scheme, a single program based on the purpose of the study. When writing the article, general scientific methods were used: analysis, synthesis, statistical method. Special methods include methods of comparative analysis, historical-typological, problem-chronological, historical-systematic methods.

The source base was archival materials from the State Archives of the Russian Federation (SARF), the Russian State Archives of Contemporary History (RSACH), the North Kazakhstan State Archives (NKSA), as well as statistical materials (for example, censuses of 1939 and 1959), periodicals and eyewitness accounts. The theoretical basis of the study was the work of representatives of foreign and domestic historical thought, who made a huge contribution to the study of various aspects of agrarian history.

**Discussion.** Today, in the national historical science there is a rich historiographic research base, in which the authors studied the socio-economic aspects of the history of Kazakhstan. The time period under consideration is 1946–1953. in the scientific literature is marked as the post-war recovery period. In the works of Muritova A.B. (Muritova, 1991), Slambekov Z. (Slambekov, 1992) the agrarian issue was still considered in the context of the party's role in restoring the destroyed economy in villages and auls. Modern Kazakh historiography fills in the gaps in the history of the Soviet state, revealing the inhumane policy towards the peasantry. In the fundamental scientific works of Kazakh scientists, such as M. Kozybaev (Kozybayev, 1997), K.S. Aldazhumanov (Aldazhumanov, 1997), T. Omarbekov (Omarbekov, 2003), Zh.B. Abylkhozhin (Abylkhozhin, 1997, 2019) raises methodological issues of studying the Soviet peasantry in the context of studying the socio-economic history of Kazakhstan. The issues of socio-economic development of the collective farm peasantry were raised by Kh.M. Abzhanov (Abzhanov, 1992), K.A. Berdenova and S.I. Imanberdieva (Berdenova, 1994), Z.G. Saktaganova (Saktaganova, 2017).

In foreign historiography, there is an active rethinking of the post-war history of agriculture and the peasantry from the standpoint of new approaches and concepts. Within the framework of the theory of modernization or the civilizational approach, the peasantry was studied in the works of Zima V.F. (Zima, 1996), E.Yu. Zubkova (Zubkova, 2000), V.P. Popov (Popov, 2000). Within the framework of the historical and anthropological approach, N. Leбина (Leбина, 2015) and S. Boym (Boym, 2009) and others studied various aspects of the life of the Soviet peasantry. General trends in domestic and foreign historiography show that the daily practices and social strategies for the survival of the peasantry in the era of the crisis of Stalin's agrarian policy remain a poorly studied issue.

**Results.** The peasantry of Kazakhstan in the post-war period was heterogeneous and represented by diversity in ethnic and socio-economic terms. In particular, it included representatives of European nationalities - the descendants of peasant migrants from the European parts of the empire, the Kazakh population itself, which went through power modernization, representatives of deported peoples from 1936 to 1945 – special settlers, etc. The large losses of the population during the Great Patriotic War, when almost half of the more than 1 million called up for the front did not return, also left an imprint on everyday work, when women and children acted as workers. In addition, the agriculture of Kazakhstan supplied the evacuated population with food: during the war years and after the war, thousands of cattle, a large amount of equipment, and food were sent for these needs. Large human losses during the war years, as well as the redirection of all available resources (equipment and food) to support the needs of the evacuated population, the residual principle of financing, outdated equipment and production methods, the lack of a system for updating and selecting the seed fund led to low yields, and

after this is the food crisis. So, in Kazakhstan, in the first post-war five-year plan (1946-1950), the average annual grain yield was 4-6 centners per hectare, which was comparable only with the indicators of 1913 (Abylkhozhin, 2019:13).

In the post-war period in the North Kazakhstan region, grain yields fell by almost 3 times: if in 1938-1943 the yield was about 11.9 centners per hectare, then in 1945 - 4.9 centners per hectare, and in 1946 - 4.1 centner per hectare (SKGA, 164:9). The reduction in varietal crops was also influenced by the lack of a quality seed fund: in 1940, crops accounted for 84.4%, and in 1946 - 28% (SKGA, 223:104). To restore the sown area and increase the yield of grain crops, it was planned to expand the fallows and plowing the fallow land (SKGA, 164: 9). However, many government measures, such as the selection of supervisory personnel, the renewal of agricultural equipment, the creation of forest protection belts, and the improvement of soil cultivation technology, were of a short-term nature and were aimed only at combating the external signs of the crisis. They did not concern the improvement of the position of the commodity producer himself, the peasant, and were aimed only at the need to fulfill the state plan for grain procurement.

General trends of decline in agriculture also affected livestock: in 1941-1946, the number of livestock of all types decreased by 26% or 164,686 heads. So, in the Soviet district of the North Kazakhstan region in 1946, only 1/3 of the farms had up to 10 cows and 50 ewes. The number of horses has significantly decreased, therefore, in collective farms, state farms, and personal farms, cows were used as draft power. The lack of fodder and material and technical base, selected breeding stock and systematization of work in this direction had a negative impact on the state of animal husbandry. The poor harvest of 1946 had a noticeable effect on fodder procurement, which led to a massive loss of livestock, in particular young animals, and the incidence of adult herds. In 1947, out of 426 collective farms, only 70 had such an insignificant number of livestock, however, even its growth could not cover the planned deliveries of meat (Severo-Kazakhstanskaya oblast', 1993: 276).

On September 19, 1946, the Central Committee of the All-Union Communist Party of Bolsheviks and the Council of Ministers of the USSR adopted a resolution "On measures to eliminate violations of the Charter of the agricultural artel in collective farms." It revealed serious violations of the Charter, expressed in the waste and plunder of public lands and public goods, the improper use of workdays, and so on. Ways were outlined for overcoming violations of the Artel's Charter and other shortcomings in the life of collective farms. In the course of implementing this resolution, 249,000 heads of cattle stolen and illegally taken from them, more than 13 million rubles of money, a large amount of food and other material assets were returned to the collective farms of the republic. In addition, more than 610,000 hectares of public lands were returned to collective farms. Due to the reduction of the bloated staff of administrative and service personnel of collective farms, more than 50 thousand collective farmers were released to work directly in production teams and on livestock farms. About 5.5 thousand people were withdrawn from payment on workdays, as they had nothing to do with collective farms. Much work has been done to restore the statutory order in the management of collective farms. However, this resolution contributed to the normalization of land accounting, wages on the collective farm only for a short time, since in reality it could not radically change the situation with food, but only offended the interests of individual peasants.

In order to increase production after the adoption on May 30, 1950 of the resolution of the Central Committee of the All-Union Communist Party of Bolsheviks "On the consolidation of small collective farms and the tasks of party organizations in this matter", collective farms were strengthened in agriculture by merging small and low-power ones. This brought a reduction in the number of collective farms from 1945 to 1952. more than three times, there were 2047. The number of livestock increased the sown area of irrigated land expanded by 16%. The MTS of the republic was strengthened, which served 95% of the collective farms against 76% in 1946.

Table 1 – The number of collective farms in Kazakhstan in 1949-1956. (Tursunbaev, 1960: 117)

At the end of the year	Number of collective farms	Number of collective farm yards	Number of collective farm members
1949	6737	614504	1260970
1950	3626	598446	1238651



1951	3198	578024	1199907
1952	3021	564011	1176612
1953	2290	550340	1183650
1954	2846	546989	1158815
1955	2718	555917	1224842
1956	2612	550775	1242839

During the recovery period, all the mechanisms of the command-administrative system, the methods of pumping funds out of the countryside, became even more sophisticated. With regard to rural residents, the methods of non-economic coercion intensified; continued, as in the war years, natural deliveries of meat, milk, eggs and other products at state (non-equivalent) prices. Despite the crisis situation in the agrarian sector, the state continued to alienate a significant part of agricultural products from collective farms.

In the 1940s-1950s, mandatory supplies for grain procurements reached 50 percent or more in relation to the gross harvest. Moreover, state purchase prices for agricultural products were so low that they reimbursed less than half of the cost. Many different taxes (direct and indirect), a forced system of distribution of government loans, etc. worsened the already difficult, critical condition of rural residents. In addition, the collective farmers did not have passports and were registered according to the lists maintained by the village councils. This deprived them of the possibility of free movement, change of residence, legally tied to the collective farm, giving their work a feudal character. Peasants were not entitled to vacations, pensions, benefits. The whole system contributed to the alienation of the producer from both the means of production and the results of labor (Saktaganova, 2003:129).

In turn, non-fulfillment of procurement plans was equated with state crimes. So, on November 4, 1946, a meeting of the North Kazakhstan Regional Committee of the Party was held on the progress of grain procurements in the region. According to the results of the audit, 416 collective farms were identified that did not fulfill the grain procurement plan, of which 102 collective farms did not participate in the delivery of bread. 231 people were prosecuted for the disruption of grain delivery by the prosecutor's office and the Ministry of Internal Affairs, including: 24 people for the disruption of grain harvesting and grain procurement plans, 18 people for spoiling grain, 189 people for theft and theft of grain. People's courts convicted 209 people, of which 17 people were sentenced to capital punishment, that is, to death - 2 people, to 10 years in prison - 15 people (Severo-Kazakhstanskaya oblast', 1993: 276).

As is known, in order to improve the situation in animal husbandry, in 1949 the Council of Ministers and the Central Committee of the All-Union Communist Party of Bolsheviks adopted a resolution "On a three-year plan for the development of social collective farm and state farm productive animal husbandry." Despite the measures taken, due to the shortage of fodder reserves in the winter of 1949-1950, there was a significant reduction in the number of livestock by 8.9%. Therefore, the undertaken plan for the development of animal husbandry for 3 years, in the absence of cardinal measures to manage the livestock sector and mechanisms for solving accumulated problems, was fulfilled only for two types of livestock: for sheep - by 106%, for horses - by 100.2%. In turn, the implementation of the plan for cattle was 89%, and for poultry only 22.4%, etc. (NKSA, 489: 159).

A significant problem in the collective farms was the safety of public livestock. According to the act of checking the safety of social livestock in the collective farms of the Aktobe region, the state plan for increasing the number of livestock for 1949, as of October 1, the collective farms of the region have not been implemented for any type of livestock. In three districts of the region, there was a decrease in the number of cattle compared to the presence on January 1, 1949 by 1007 heads, including in the Karabutakovsky district by 379 heads, in Temirsky by 322 heads, in the Dzhurunsky district by 206 heads. The failure of the collective farms of the region to fulfill the state plan was the result of large losses of livestock from death, squandering from collective farms, as well as an exorbitantly large expense for on-farm needs (SARF, 211: 3).

In many collective farms of the Aktobe region, the safety of livestock from theft and other losses not related to the loss of livestock was not ensured. Only in 4 districts of the region - Chelkarsky, Khobdinsky, Novo-Rossiysky and Dzhurunsky in 1949, a shortage of 733 sheep, 84 cattle, 5 horses and

4 camels was established on collective farms. (SARF, 211: 5). In many cases, no civil suits were filed against persons guilty of the death and theft of livestock through the judiciary, and the loss of livestock on collective farms was restored in kind at the expense of the personal livestock of collective farmers. At the same time, the boards of collective farms often indiscriminately involved in compensating for the loss of livestock many collective farmers without establishing their guilt in the loss of livestock. Thus, for example, during 1948 and 1949 the collective farms of the Chelkarsky district received 7 civil suits for compensation for damage from the death and theft of livestock to the people's court. At the same time, only in 1949, in order to cover the loss of livestock, it was proposed to the collective farmers to hand over 528 sheep, 75 head of cattle and 28 horses to the collective farms. On October 1, 1949, 60 sheep, 12 cattle and 3 horses were handed over to the collective farmers (SARF, 211: 6). In many cases, the reasons for the shortage of livestock remained unexplained, and those responsible for the shortage were not held liable. In the Aktobe region over the past 2-3 years in many collective farms there have been numerous facts of reciprocal release to collective farmers and individuals of social livestock for slaughter and also for temporary use. At the same time, the cattle distributed in this way, in a number of cases, was returned to the collective farms with other, less valuable cattle, or not returned at all. Dairy animals and working cattle were transferred for temporary use free of charge or for a small fee. (SARF, 211: 8-9).

The situation with the development of animal husbandry in the East Kazakhstan region was also very unfavorable, as can be seen from the following data.

Table 2 – Development of animal husbandry in the East Kazakhstan region in 1916-1953 (RSACH, 522: 4)

Types of livestock	Number of livestock by all categories of farms (thousand heads)					
	1916	1928	1940	1946	1952	1953
Cattle	358,1	496,9	295,2	252,5	259,9	315,4
Sheep and goats	1029,4	1444,3	507,2	485,6	958,7	1213,4
Pigs	22,8	49,7	87,7	22,4	57,4	100,2

Thus, despite the significant increase in the number of cattle in the post-war period, their number in 1953 had not yet reached the level of 1928. The productivity of animal husbandry in the collective farms of the East Kazakhstan region remained extremely low. The main reason for the unsatisfactory state of animal husbandry was the lag in the fodder base for the growth of livestock. Grass-sowing was poorly developed in the region, fodder root crops, melons and silage crops were grown in extremely small amounts. Measures to improve meadows and pastures were completely insufficiently carried out, estuary irrigation was little used, which led to a low yield of natural hayfields (RSACH, 522: 5).

One of the urgent problems of the collective farms of Kazakhstan was the supply of irrigation water. In accordance with the Decree of the Council of Ministers of the USSR dated April 29, 1949 No. 1720, a cash payment was introduced for water supplied for irrigation of crops and other household needs. In August 1953, in the name of G.M. Malenkov, Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the USSR. A letter was sent on behalf of the Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the Kazakh SSR E. Taybekov and the Secretary of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of Kazakhstan Zh. Shayakhmetov, in which the problem of the high cost of water received by the collective farms of the republic for irrigating grain crops and rice was raised. The letter stated that in the Kazakh SSR, crops are annually sown on irrigated lands on an area of about one million hectares, of which grain crops accounted for 560,000 hectares, including the area sown with rice is 29,000 hectares. With the established irrigation rate, the payment for water for one hectare of grain crops per season was on average 20 rubles, and for rice - 200 rubles. With the unsatisfactory technical condition of the irrigation systems, insufficient equipment of their hydraulic structures and large water losses in the canals, the cost of irrigating one hectare of agricultural crops was much more expensive. With an average yield of rice per hectare of 22 centners and the state procurement price for one centner of 20 rubles, the cost of rice per hectare was 440 rubles. From the above data, it can be seen that the cost of irrigation water alone accounted for 45% of the cost of rice produced per hectare. For the rest of the grain crops, the cost of water was 20% of the cost of production per hectare. The high cost of payment for water received by the collective farms for irrigation led many collective farms to great financial difficulties. Thus, the debt

of collective farms for water supplied for irrigation of agricultural crops as of July 1, 1953 amounted to 44 million rubles, including the balance of debt for previous years of 33 million rubles. In this regard, the Council of Ministers of the Kazakh SSR and the Central Committee of the Communist Party of Kazakhstan asked the Council of Ministers of the USSR to write off debts for past years for water in the amount of 33 million rubles from the collective farms of the republic, and also to significantly reduce payment for water up to 70% of the established payment (SARF, 2659:7).

After careful consideration of the request, by the order of the Ministers of the USSR of September 5, 1953, it was granted the right to write off in 1953 from the collective farms of the republic, experiencing financial difficulties, the debts of previous years for water, submitted to them by state irrigation systems, in the amount of up to 25 million rubles. However, in the opinion of the Ministry of Finance of the USSR, it was inappropriate to reduce the amount of payment for water supplied by the irrigation systems of the Kazakh SSR (SARF, 2659: 3). The Council of Ministers of the Kazakh SSR was recommended to take measures to ensure the timely receipt of funds from collective farms and other water user farms for the water supplied to them by state irrigation systems (SARF, 2659: 11).

In the southern regions of Kazakhstan as of November 1953, agriculture was carried out at a low level, on collective farms and state farms, the possibilities of increasing productivity through the widespread use of agrotechnical measures, as well as expanding sown areas, were poorly used. In 1952, the Almaty region handed over to the state about 5 million poods, and in 1953 3.5 million poods (RSACH, 521: 6). In the collective farms of the region, essentially nomadic livestock breeding was carried out and the necessary measures were not taken to create conditions for the stall or semi-stall keeping of livestock (RGANI, 521: 2). In 1952, cattle died - 8.3% of the total livestock, sheep - 9.2%, horses - 6% and pigs - 14.6%. The greatest death took place in 1951, due to the lack of feed and premises. This year, 14.6% of cattle, 20.9% of sheep, 10.2% of horses and 18.1% of pigs died (RSACH, 521: 7). Unsatisfactory indicators of livestock productivity and failure to meet the plans for milk yield and wool shearing in the collective farms of the region were explained by a weak fodder base, the lack of livestock with comfortable livestock buildings and the lack of staffing of livestock farms with the necessary number of attendants, the lack of mechanization of work in livestock breeding.

In 1953, in the Almaty region, approximately 40-50% of the shepherds did not have livestock or had one cow, 2-3 sheep. The presence of such a quantity of livestock did not provide the shepherd's family with meat, because the family consisted of 5-7 people. The certificate contained suspicions of abuse of power and theft of livestock by shepherds: "The everyday features of Kazakh livestock breeders are such that if there is no meat, he says "There is nothing". His menu is permanent - beshbarmak. There is no doubt that the shepherds slaughter sheep from the common herd, no control helps here (shepherds are located at a distance of 100 to 300 km from the estates of collective farms). The head or skin of a sheep, allegedly dead, is delivered to the controller. "The carcass," says the shepherd, "I fed the dogs." Such shepherds have a large "case" of livestock. At the same time, shepherds, who have a large number of livestock for personal use, as a rule, fulfilled and over fulfilled their plans. The certificate contained a proposal that the collective farms should allocate to the shepherds the necessary amount of livestock with cost coverage (in money or in kind) in installments (RSACH, 521: 12-13).

One of the problems of the collective farms of Kazakhstan was the lack of labor force. In the collective farms of the Almaty region at the beginning of 1953, there were 41,290 collective farm households, there were 59,928 able-bodied collective farmers, of which 15,833 people, or 26.4%, were employed in animal husbandry. The Kegen and Narynkol livestock-breeding regions experienced a particularly great shortage of people, where the number of people employed in animal husbandry was only 36-37% of the need. To solve the personnel issue, the labor of special settlers was actively involved: "In order to provide public animal husbandry with labor, along with the mechanization of the main labor-intensive work, it is required to relocate to the livestock breeding areas of the region, during 1954 from the city of Alma-Ata and regional centers at least 4-5 thousand people. families of special settlers" (RSACH, 521: 40-41).

The transition to monetary relations was facilitated by an increase in purchase prices for grain, which in turn increased the cash income of collective farms in September 1953 by 20 million rubles, in 1954 by 29 million without increasing the total mass of commodities. The increase in the cash income

of agriculture led to the replacement of payment in kind with grain by cash payments, which stimulated the work of peasants and created real levers of economic interest, which had not been given due attention in previous years. However, the monetary income of collective farmers in the post-war period was meager and below the pre-war level: in comparison, if in 1940 the monetary part of the workday was 58 kopecks, then in 1950 it was only 40 kopecks. In turn, incomes in kind increased: in the North Kazakhstan region they amounted to 0.7 kg per workday in 1949, 5.4 kg in 1950; was 7.3 kg, in Leninsky - 6.5 kg, and in Priishimsky - 6.1 kg per workday. Despite the increased stimulation of the peasantry to work, even in 1950 in the North Kazakhstan region there were cases of non-production of the minimum workday (6600 collective farmers or 13% of the total number of workers). The presence of this category of collective farmers testified to the loss of interest among the rural population in the results of their work (NKSA, 1038: 13, 28).

The food supply and the standard of living of the peasantry remained at a rather low level. Here is what reports on the main items of expenditure of the family budget of a peasant family Khasanova Dilyara Gabidullova (born in 1947), a resident of the North Kazakhstan region, who studied the history of the region according to the recollections of her parents: «They practically didn't buy it from others, of course, it was difficult with food ... they grew something themselves ... There was no such special food, sugar, bread, tea, milk ... But it was very difficult with food in Soviet times» (Memoirs of Khasanova D.G.). This fact is also confirmed by archival data, which show that against the backdrop of the food crisis, the provision of consumer products by the authorities to the peasantry was so bad that it was carried out mainly at the expense of small personal subsidiary plots of the population itself.

**Conclusion.** The post-war years were accompanied by crisis phenomena in almost all areas, and especially in agriculture, which showed the need to change the methods of administrative pressure. The post-war period was characterized by the strengthening of the administrative management of collective farms and state farms, which was manifested by the implementation of reforms. A number of agrarian reforms to enlarge the collective farms also failed to restore the pre-war level of production, since the reason lay in the alienation of the peasant from the means of production, the lack of his material interest. The development of agriculture was hampered by traditional command and control approaches, without the use of the principles of economic incentives. The collective farms were faced with urgent problems of improving the culture of agriculture, supplying irrigation water, preserving social livestock, and also providing labor. In turn, the problems were not fundamentally solved, the increase in grain production was often achieved in an extensive way by expanding the sown areas, and attempts were made to stabilize the livestock sector. However, the lack of a solution to systemic problems led to a difficult situation in animal husbandry and a reduction in livestock by almost 3 times, resulting in a massive jute in 1951-1952. In general, the post-war restoration of agriculture led to a slight improvement in the material situation of the peasantry.

*The article was prepared as part of the implementation of the project AP08052897 "The peasantry of Kazakhstan in the post-war decade: social transformation and everyday life" with the financial support of the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan.*

#### **Information about authors:**

**Kozybayeva Makhabbat Malikovna** – PhD, Branch of the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology in Astana, Astana, Kazakhstan, E-mail: [koz.mahabbat\\_85@mail.ru](mailto:koz.mahabbat_85@mail.ru), <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7223-2439>.

#### **REFERENCE**

Abzhanov Kh. Sel'skaya intelligentsiya Kazakhstana: istoricheskiy opyt formirovaniya i sotsial'noy praktiki (1946–1985 gody) [Rural intelligentsia of Kazakhstan: historical experience of formation and social practice (1946–1985)]: Avtoref. dis. ... d-ra ist. nauk. – Alma-Ata, 1992. – 42 s. [In Russian].

Abylkhozhin Zh.B. Ocherki sotsial'no-ekonomicheskoy istorii Kazakhstana. XX vek. [Essays on the socio-economic history of Kazakhstan. XX century]. Almaty: «Yuat», 1997. 360 s. [In Russian].

Abylkhozhin Zh.B. Poststalinskiy period v istorii sovetskogo Kazakhstana: chereda obrechennykh reform i nesostoyavshikhsya deklaratsiy (1953-1991 gg.). [Post-Stalin period in the history of Soviet Kazakhstan: a series of doomed reforms and failed declarations (1953-1991)]. Almaty: KBTU, 2019. 468 s.

Aldazhumanov K.S., Aldazhumanov Ye.K. Deportatsiya narodov – prestupleniye totalitarnogo rezhima. [The deportation of peoples is a crime of the totalitarian regime]. Almaty, 1997. 16 s. [In Russian].

Berdenova K.A., Imanberdiyeva S.I. Agrarnaya politika totalitarnogo gosudarstva kak faktor krizisnogo sostoyaniya sel'skogo khozyaystva Kazakhstana. [The agrarian policy of the totalitarian state as a factor in the crisis state of agriculture in Kazakhstan]. Almaty, Gylym, 1994, 144 s. [In Russian].

Boym S. Common Places: Mythologies of Everyday Life in Russia. London: Harvard Univ. Press, 2009. 384 p. [In English].

Kozybayev M.K., Aldazhumanov K.S. Totalitarnyy sotsializm: real'nost' i posledstviya. [Totalitarian socialism: reality and consequences]. Almaty: Fond «XXI vek», 1997. 28 s. [In Russian].

Lebina N. Sovetskaya povsednevnost'. Normy i anomalii. Ot voyennogo kommunizma k bol'shomu stilyu. [Soviet everyday life. Norms and anomalies. From war communism to grand style]. M., NLO, 2015 [In Russian].

Memoirs of Khasanova Dilyara Gabidullova, born in 1947 Petropavlovsk. Interview. Recorded by Kozybayeva M.M. [In Russian].

Muritova A.B. Kul'turnoye stroitel'stvo v selakh i aulakh Kazakhstana (1946–1960 gg.) [Cultural construction in the villages and auls of Kazakhstan (1946–1960)]: Avtoref. dis. ... kand. ist. nauk. Alma-Ata, 1991. 24 s. [In Russian].

North-Kazakhstan State Archive (NKSA). F. P-22. Op. 4. D. 164. [In Russian].

NKSA. F. P-22. Op. 4. D. 223. [In Russian].

NKSA. F. P-22. Op.4. D. 489. [In Russian].

NKSA. F. P-22. Op.4. D. 1038. [In Russian].

Omarbekov T. XX gasyrdagy Qazaqstan tarikhynun ozektñ maseleleri. [Current issues of the history of Kazakhstan in the 20th century]. Almaty: Oner, 2003. — 552 b. [In Kazakh].

Popov V.P. Ekonomicheskaya politika sovetskogo gosudarstva. 1946-1953 gg. Tambov, Izdatel'stvo TGPU, 2000, 222 s. [In Russian].

Russian State Archive of Contemporary History (RSACH). F. 5. Op. 24. D. 521. [In Russian]

RSACH. F. 5. Op. 24. D. 522. [In Russian].

Saktaganova Z.G. Ekonomicheskaya modernizatsiya Kazakhstana. 1946-1970 gg. [Economic policy of the Soviet state. 1946-1953]: monografiya. Karaganda: Izd-vo KarGU, 2017, 365 s. [In Russian].

Saktaganova Z.G. Sovetskaya model' ekonomiki i agroindustrial'noye prostranstvo Kazakhstana v 1946-1954 gg. [The Soviet model of the economy and the agro-industrial space of Kazakhstan in 1946-1954] // Economic history. Review / Ed. L.I. Borodkin. Issue. 9. M., 2003. S. 122-132. [In Russian].

Severo-Kazakhstanskaya oblast': stranitsy letopisi rodnogo kraya. [North-Kazakhstan region: pages of the chronicle of the native land]. Almaty: Kazakhstan, 1993. 392 s. [In Russian].

Slambekov Z. Obshchestvenno-politicheskaya zhizn' aula i sela Kazakhstana (1946–1960 gg.) [Socio-political life of the aul and village of Kazakhstan (1946–1960)]: Avtoref. dis. ... kand. ist. nauk. Alma-Ata, 1992. 24 s. [In Russian].

State Archive of the Russian Federation (SARF). F. R-8300. Op. 24. D. 211. [In Russian].

SARF. F. 5446. Op. 87. D. 2659. [In Russian].

Tursunbaev A. Kolkhoznoye krest'yanstvo [Collective-farm peasantry]. Alma-Ata: Kazgosizdat, 1960. 256 p. [In Russian].

Zima V.F. Golod v SSSR. 1946-1947 gg.: proiskhozhdeniye i posledstviya. [Famine in the USSR. 1946-1947: origins and consequences]. M., Prometey, 1996, 304 s. [In Russian].

Zubkova Ye.YU. Poslevoyennoye sovetskoye obshchestvo: politika i povsednevnost'. 1945-1953. [Post-war Soviet society: politics and everyday life. 1945-1953]. M., 2000, 204 s. [In Russian].

## МАЗМҰНЫ ТЕОРИЯ ЖӘНЕ ӘДІСНАМА

<b>Бұрханов Б.Б.</b> КЕҢЕСТІК ОРТАЛЫҚ АЗИЯДАҒЫ ИСЛАМНЫҢ КЕЙБІР ЕРЕКШЕЛІКТЕРІ (ТАРИХНАМАЛЫҚ ШОЛУ).....	4
<b>Кушқумбаев А.Қ., Уалиев Т.А.</b> ЕР ЕДІГЕ ЖЫРЫНЫҢ ТАРИХНАМАСЫ (XIX ғ. II-жартысы – XX ғ. I-жартысы).....	15
<b>Қапаева А.Т.</b> ҚАЗАҚСТАНДАҒЫ 1921 – 1922 ЖЫЛДАРДАҒЫ АШАРШЫЛЫҚТЫ ЗЕРТТЕУДІҢ ТЕОРИЯЛЫҚ-МЕТОДОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ҰСТАНЫМЫ.....	27

## ТАРИХ

<b>Ағатай Ө.М.</b> ҚАЗАҚ БАТЫРЛАР ЖЫРЛАРЫНДАҒЫ ДӘСТҮРЛІ ЖАҚ (ЖАЙ) ҚАРУЫ.....	41
<b>Бекенова А.А.</b> СОҒЫСТАН KEЙІНГІ ОНЖЫЛДЫҚТАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚСТАН ШАРУАЛАРЫНЫҢ КҮНДЕЛІКТІ ТҮРМЫСТАҒЫ МЕРЕКЕЛЕУ МӘДЕНИЕТІ (1945 – 1955).....	56
<b>Дүйсенова Н.К., Смағұлов Б.Қ.</b> 1930 – 1950 ЖЫЛДАРДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚСТАННЫҢ МУЗЕЙ САЛАСЫ ДАМУЫНЫҢ КЕЙБІР АСПЕКТІЛЕРІ.....	70
<b>Закарья Р.</b> ЕУРОПАЛЫҚ МИГРАНТТАРДЫҢ КСРО-ҒА ҚОНЫС АУДАРУЫНЫҢ АЛҒЫШАРТТАРЫ, СОНДАЙ-АҚ ОЛАРДЫҢ НЕГІЗГІ КАТЕГОРИЯЛАРЫ.....	81
<b>Көкебаева Г.К., Шілдебай С.Қ., Стамшалов Е.И.</b> 1967 ЖЫЛҒЫ ШЫМКЕНТ КӨТЕРІЛІСІ .....	98
<b>Крупко И.В.</b> ОТЫРАР КІТАПХАНАСЫНЫҢ БЕЙНЕ ЖӘНЕ НАРРАТИВ СЕМИОЗИСІ: ЖОҒАЛҒАН АҒАРТУШЫЛЫҚТЫ ІЗДЕСТІРУ БАРЫСЫНДА.....	112
<b>Күнікеев А.Д., Жетпісбай Н.Ы.</b> ЖОЛАМАН ТІЛЕНШІҰЛЫ БАСТАҒАН КӨТЕРІЛІС (1822 – 1840).....	126
<b>Қалыбекова М.Ш.</b> XX ҒАСЫРДЫҢ 30 – 50 ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ ҰЛТТЫҚ БІРІГЕЙЛІКТІ ЖОЮ МӘСЕЛЕЛЕРІ.....	138
<b>Қасымова Д.Б.</b> Ж. ШАЯХМЕТОВ ПЕН Д. ҚОНАЕВ ҚЫЗМЕТТЕРІНЕН ҚАЛАЙ КЕТТІ: ЖАЛПЫ ЖӘНЕ ЕРЕКШЕ КЕЗЕҢДЕРІ.....	151
<b>Қозыбаева М.М.</b> СОҒЫСТАН KEЙІНГІ КЕЗЕҢДЕ ҚАЗАҚСТАН КОЛХОЗДАРЫНЫҢ	

ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК-ЭКОНОМИКАЛЫҚ ДАМУЫ (1946 – 1953).....	163
<b>Қуанбай О.Б.</b> ҚҰЛСАРЫ БАТЫР – ДИПЛОМАТ, МЕМЛЕКЕТ ЖӘНЕ ҚОҒАМ ҚАЙРАТКЕРІ (1715–1776).....	172
<b>Құдайбергенова А.И., Мамытова С.Н., Көбеев Р.Ж.</b> АШЫҚҚАН ҚАЗАҚ БОСҚЫНДАРЫНЫҢ АУЫП БАРҒАН ЖЕРДЕГІ ЖАҒДАЙЫ ТУРАЛЫ АРХИВТІК ДЕРЕКТЕРДЕН (1931 – 1933).....	185
<b>Муканова Г.К., Байжуманова З.Б.</b> БӨКЕЙХАНОВТЫҢ РЕДАКТОРЛЫҒЫНДАҒЫ «БАХАИЗМ» ЖАЙЛЫ ДӘРІСТЕР ЖӘНЕ СМАҒҰЛ СӘДУАҚАСОВТЫҢ «ОҚУЛЫҚ ЖАЗБАЛАРЫ».....	196
<b>Нурсултанова Л.Н., Еркін А.Е.</b> ҚАЗАҚСТАН ЖӘНЕ ТАЯУ ШЫҒЫСТЫҢ САЯСИ – ЭКОНОМИКАЛЫҚ ЫНТЫМАҚТАСТЫҒЫ: ТАРИХИ-ХРОНОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ АСПЕКТИ.....	206
<b>Оразов Р.Е., Сатенова М.Р.</b> АХМЕТ БАЙТҰРСЫНОВ ЖӘНЕ ҚАЗАҚ ҚОҒАМЫ.....	217
<b>Оспанов Н.М.</b> КЕҢЕС ОДАҒЫНЫҢ БҰҰ-ДАҒЫ ӘСКЕРИ ТҮТҚЫНДАРДЫ РЕПАТРИАЦИЯЛАУ МӘСЕЛЕСІНЕ ҚАТЫСТЫ САЯСАТЫ.....	228
<b>Ошан Ж.</b> ҚЫТАЙ ЖАЗБАЛАРЫНДАҒЫ ЕЛТЕРІС ҚАҒАН ТУРАЛЫ ШІНАРА МӘЛІМЕТТЕР.....	238
<b>Сарманова С.Р., Қозыбаева М.М., Маслов Х.Б.</b> ӘЛИХАН БӨКЕЙХАН ЖӘНЕ ҚАЗАҚТАРДЫҢ ЭТНИКАЛЫҚ БІРЕГЕЙЛІГІН ДАМУ МӘСЕЛЕЛЕРІ.....	249
<b>Сманова А.М.</b> АХМЕТ ЗӘКИ УӘЛИДИДІҢ ҒЫЛЫМИ ҚЫЗМЕТІ ЖӘНЕ ДҮНИЕТАНЫМДЫҚ КӨЗҚАРАСЫНЫҢ ҚАЛЫПТАСУЫ.....	257
<b>Стась И.Н., Нурбаев Ж.Е., М.М. Козыбаева</b> АҚМОЛА ҚАЛАСЫНДАҒЫ ХІХ Ғ. ЕКІНШІ ЖАРТЫСЫ – ХХ Ғ. БАСЫНДАҒЫ ҒИБАДАТХАНА ҚҰРЫЛЫСЫНЫҢ ТАРИХЫНАН (ФОТОҚҰЖАТТАР МАТЕРИАЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ СӘУЛЕТТІК ТАЛДАУ).....	266
<b>Ш.Б. Тілеубаев, С.Г. Белоус, Н.Н. Құрманалина, А.Н. Конкабаева</b> ХХ ҒАСЫРДЫҢ БАСЫНДАҒЫ ҚОҒАМДЫҚ ҰЙЫМДАР МЕН ҰЛТЗИЯЛЫЛАРЫНЫҢ МУЗЕЙ ІСІН ҰЙЫМДАСТЫРУДАҒЫ ҚЫЗМЕТІ.....	277
<b>Түрсүн Х.М., Шаметов С.Т., Исаев М.С.</b> ИСЛАМДЫҚ ЖӘНЕ ХРИСТИАНДЫҚ ЖЕРАСТЫ МІНАЖАТ ОРЫНДАРЫНЫҢ ҚҰРЫЛЫСЫ МЕН ҚЫЗМЕТІНДЕГІ ОРТАҚ БЕЛГІЛЕР.....	289
<b>Ханна Матт</b> ЖАҒАҢДЫҚ ӘСКЕРИ ТҮТҚЫНДАУ ҚҰБЫЛЫСЫ БІРІНШІ ДҮНИЕЖҮЗІЛІК СОҒЫС КЕЗІНДЕ: ТҮРКІСТАН ТӘЖІРИБЕСІ (1914 – 1916).....	301

**Черепанов К.В.**

Д.А. ҚОНАЕВТЫҢ АЛМАТЫНЫҢ ЕЛОРДАЛЫҚ  
КЕЛБЕТІН ҚАЛЫПТАСТЫРУДАҒЫ РӨЛІ.....314

**Шакиева А.С.**

ОТАН ТАРИХЫНДАҒЫ ЖОШЫ ҰЛЫСЫНЫҢ ЗЕРТТЕЛУІ:  
КЕҢЕСТІК КЕЗЕҢ ЖӘНЕ ҚАЗІРГІ ТАРИХ (САЛЫСТЫРМАЛЫ ТАЛДАУ).....329

**Шотанова Ғ.А., Өжкенев Е.М., Жұмаділ А.Қ.**

БӨКЕЙ ОРДАСЫНДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚТАР:  
ДӘСТҮРЛІ ҚОҒАМДЫ ЖАҢҒЫРТУДЫҢ БАСТАЛУЫ.....340

### АНТРОПОЛОГИЯ

**Әжіғали С.Е., Әшім Б.Ә.**

БАТЫС ҚАЗАҚСТАН КЕШЕНДІ ЭТНОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ-ЭТНОАРХЕОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ  
ЭКСПЕДИЦИЯСЫНЫҢ 2021 ЖЫЛЫ ҚОБДА ӨЛКЕСİNДЕ ЖҮРГІЗГЕН ЗЕРТТЕУЛЕРІ.....353

**Ганиева А., Ананьевская Е., Хасенова Б.**

ҚАЗАҚ ҚЫСТАУЫНЫҢ ЗАТТЫҚ ТОПТАМАСЫ:  
АҚМОЛА ӨҢІРІНДЕГІ ДАЛАЛЫҚ ЗЕРТТЕУЛЕР МАТЕРИАЛДАРЫ БОЙЫНША.....361

**Кулагина Е.В., Смағұлов Б.Қ., Болсынбек Т.Н.**

ОМБЫ ӨЛКЕТАНУШЫСЫ СЕРГЕЙ РОМАНОВИЧ ЛАПТЕВТИҢ ЖОЛ ЕСТЕЛІКТЕРІНДЕГІ  
ҚАЗАҚТЫҢ ДӘСТҮРЛІ МӘДЕНИЕТІНЕ  
ҚАТЫСТЫ ЭТНОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ МӘЛІМЕТТЕР.....370

**Рогожинский А.Е., Камалдинов И.Р.**

ОРТАҒАСЫРЛЫҚ АСПАРА ЖӘНЕ ШАРУАШЫЛЫҚ ҚАЛАЛАРЫ  
(2021 – 2022 ЖЫЛДАРДАҒЫ ЗЕРТТЕУЛЕРДІҢ АЛДЫН-АЛА НӘТИЖЕЛЕРІ).....384

### МЕРЕЙ ЖАС

Алдажуманов Кайдар Сейсембаевұлы – 80 жас.....393



## СОДЕРЖАНИЕ

### ТЕОРИЯ МЕТОДОЛОГИИ

- Б.Б. Бурханов**  
О НЕКОТОРЫХ СПЕЦИФИЧЕСКИХ ЧЕРТАХ ИСЛАМА  
В СОВЕТСКОЙ ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ (ИСТОРИОГРАФИЧЕСКИЙ ОБЗОР).....4
- А.Қ. Кушкумбаев, Т.А. Уалиев**  
ИСТОРИОГРАФИЯ ЭПОСА ЕР ЕДІГЕ ВО II ПОЛОВИНЕ XIX – I ПОЛОВИНЕ XX ВВ.....15
- А.Т. Капаева**  
ТЕОРЕТИКО–МЕТОДОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ПОДХОДЫ  
К ИЗУЧЕНИЮ ГОЛОДА 1921 – 1922 ГГ. В КАЗАХСТАНЕ.....27

### ИСТОРИЯ

- У.М. Агатай**  
ТРАДИЦИОННОЕ ОРУЖИЕ ЛУК В КАЗАХСКОМ ГЕРОИЧЕСКОМ ЭПОСЕ.....41
- А.А. Бекенова**  
КУЛЬТУРА ПРАЗДНОВАНИЯ В ПОВСЕДНЕВНОЙ ЖИЗНИ  
КРЕСТЬЯН КАЗАХСТАНА В ПОСЛЕВОЕННОЕ ДЕСЯТИЛЕТИЕ (1945-1955).....56
- Н.К. Дуйсенова, Б.Қ. Смагулов**  
НЕКОТОРЫЕ АСПЕКТЫ РАЗВИТИЯ МУЗЕЙНОЙ  
СФЕРЫ В КАЗАХСТАНЕ В 1930-Х – 1950-Х ГГ.....70
- Р. Закарья**  
ПРЕДПОСЫЛКИ МИГРАЦИИ В СССР ЕВРОПЕЙСКИХ МИГРАНТОВ,  
А ТАКЖЕ ИХ ОСНОВНЫЕ КАТЕГОРИИ.....81
- Г.К. Кокебаева, С.К. Шилдебай, Е.И. Стамшалов**  
ЧИМКЕНТСКОЕ ВОССТАНИЕ 1967 ГОДА.....98
- И.В. Крупко**  
СЕМИОЗИС ОБРАЗА И НАРРАТИВА ОТРАРСКОЙ БИБЛИОТЕКИ:  
В ПОИСКАХ УТРАЧЕННОГО ПРОСВЕЩЕНИЯ.....112
- А.Д. Куникеев, Н.Ы. Жетписбай**  
ВОССТАНИЕ ПОД РУКОВОДСТВОМ ЖОЛАМАНА ТЛЕНШИЕВА (1822-1840).....126
- М. Калыбекова**  
ПРОБЛЕМЫ РАЗРУШЕНИЯ НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ  
ИДЕНТИЧНОСТИ В 30-50-Е ГОДЫ XX ВЕКА.....138
- Д.Б. Касымова**  
КАК «УХОДИЛИ» СО СВОИХ ПОСТОВ Ж. ШАЯХМЕТОВ  
И Д. КУНАЕВ: ОБЩЕЕ И ОСОБЕННОЕ.....151
- М.М. Козыбаева**

СОЦИАЛЬНО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКОЕ РАЗВИТИЕ КОЛХОЗОВ КАЗАХСТАНА В ПОСЛЕВОЕННЫЙ ПЕРИОД (1946-1953).....	163
<b>О.Б. Қуанбай</b> КУЛСАРЫ БАТЫР – ДИПЛОМАТ, ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ И ОБЩЕСТВЕННЫЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬ (1715-1776).....	172
<b>А.И. Кудайбергенова, С.Н. Мамытова, Р.Д. Кубеев</b> ИЗ АРХИВНЫХ ДАННЫХ О ПРЕБЫВАНИИ КАЗАХСКИХ ГОЛОДОБЕЖЕНЦЕВ НА МЕСТАХ ОТКОЧЕВКИ (1931-1933).....	185
<b>Г.К. Муканова, З.Б. Байжуманова</b> ЛЕКЦИЯ ПО «БЕХАИЗМУ», РЕДАКТОР БУКЕЙХАНОВ И «ПИСАНИЕ УЧЕБНИКОВ» СМАГУЛОМ САДВОКАСОВЫМ.....	196
<b>Л.Н. Нурсултанова, А.Е. Еркін</b> ПОЛИТИКО – ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКОЕ СОТРУДНИЧЕСТВО КАЗАХСТАНА И БЛИЖНЕГО ВОСТОКА: ИСТОРИКО – ХРОНОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ АСПЕКТ.....	206
<b>Р.Е. Оразов, М.Р. Сатенова</b> АХМЕТ БАЙТУРСЫНОВ И КАЗАХСКОЕ ОБЩЕСТВО.....	217
<b>Н.М. Оспанов</b> ПОЛИТИКА СОВЕТСКОГО СОЮЗА В ООН В ОТНОШЕНИИ ПРОБЛЕМЫ РЕПАТРИАЦИИ ВОЕННОПЛЕННЫХ.....	228
<b>Ж. Ошан</b> ЧАСТИЧНАЯ ИНФОРМАЦИЯ ОБ ЭЛЬТЕРИС-ХАНЕ В КИТАЙСКИХ ИСТОЧНИКАХ.....	238
<b>С.Р. Сарманова, М.М. Козыбаева, Х.Б. Маслов</b> АЛИХАН БУКЕЙХАН И ВОПРОСЫ РАЗВИТИЯ ЭТНИЧЕСКОЙ ИДЕНТИЧНОСТИ КАЗАХОВ.....	249
<b>А. Сманова</b> НАУЧНАЯ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ И ФОРМИРОВАНИЕ МИРОВОЗЗРЕНЧЕСКИХ УСТАНОВОК АХМЕТА ЗАКИ ВАЛИДИ.....	257
<b>И.Н. Стась, Ж.Е. Нурбаев, М.М. Козыбаева</b> ИЗ ИСТОРИИ ХРАМОВОГО СТРОИТЕЛЬСТВА В Г. АКМОЛИНСК ВО ВТОРОЙ ПОЛОВИНЕ XIX – НАЧАЛЕ XX ВЕКОВ (АРХИТЕКТУРНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ НА МАТЕРИАЛАХ ФОТОДОКУМЕНТОВ).....	266
<b>Ш.Б. Тілеубаев, С.Г. Белоус, Н.Н. Курманалина, А.Н. Конкабаева</b> ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ ОБЩЕСТВЕННЫХ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЙ И НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ ИНТЕЛЛИГЕНЦИИ ПО ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ МУЗЕЙНОГОДЕЛА В НАЧАЛЕ XX ВЕКА.....	277
<b>Х.М. Турсун, С.Т. Шаметов, М.С. Исаев</b> ОБЩИЕ ЧЕРТЫ В СТРОИТЕЛЬСТВЕ И ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ ИСЛАМСКИХ И ХРИСТИАНСКИХ ПОДЗЕМНЫХ МОЛИТВЕННЫХ МЕСТ.....	289

**Ханна Матт**

ГЛОБАЛЬНЫЙ ФЕНОМЕН ВОЕННОГО ПЛЕНА  
В ПЕРИОД ПЕРВОЙ МИРОВОЙ ВОЙНЫ: ОПЫТ ТУРКЕСТАНА (1914 – 1916).....301

**К.В. Черепанов С.Е. Ажигали, Б.А. Ашим**

НЕКОТОРЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ЗАПАДНО-КАЗАХСТАНСКОЙ  
КОМПЛЕКСНОЙ ЭТНОГРАФО-ЭТНОАРХЕОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ  
ЭКСПЕДИЦИИ 2021 ГОДА В ХОБДИНСКОМ РЕГИОНЕ.....314

**А.С. Шакиева**

ИССЛЕДОВАНИЕ УЛУСА ДЖУЧИ В ИСТОРИИ ОТЕЧЕСТВА:  
СОВЕТСКИЙ ПЕРИОД И СОВРЕМЕННАЯ ИСТОРИЯ (СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ).....329

**Г.А. Шотанова, Е.М. Ужкенов, А.К. Жумадил** КАЗАХИ БУКЕЕВСКОЙ ОРДЫ:

НАЧАЛО МОДЕРНИЗАЦИИ ТРАДИЦИОННОГО ОБЩЕСТВА.....340

**АНТРОПОЛОГИЯ**

**С.Е.Ажигали, Б.А. Ашим**

НЕКОТОРЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ЗАПАДНО-КАЗАХСТАНСКОЙ КОМПЛЕКСНОЙ  
ЭТНОГРАФО-ЭТНОАРХЕОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ ЭКСПЕДИЦИИ 2021 ГОДА В ХОБДИНСКОМ  
РЕГИОНЕ.....353

**А. Ганиева, Е. Ананьевская, Б. Хасенова**

ПРЕДМЕТНАЯ КОЛЛЕКЦИЯ КАЗАХСКОГО КЫСТАУ:  
ПО МАТЕРИАЛАМ ПОЛЕВЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ В АКМОЛИНСКОМ ПРИИШИМЬЕ.....361

**Е.В. Кулагина, Б.Қ. Смагулов, Т.Н. Болсынбек**

ЭТНОГРАФИЧЕСКИЕ МАТЕРИАЛЫ ПО ТРАДИЦИОННОЙ КУЛЬТУРЕ КАЗАХОВ  
В ПОЛЕВЫХ ЗАМЕТКАХ ОМСКОГО КРАЕВЕДА СЕРГЕЯ РОМАНОВИЧА ЛАПТЕВА.....370

**А.Е. Рогожинский, И.Р. Камалдинов**

СРЕДНЕВЕКОВЫЕ ГОРОДИЩА АСПАРА И ШАРУАШЫЛЫК  
(ПРЕДВАРИТЕЛЬНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ В 2021 – 2022).....384

**ЮБИЛЕЙ**

Алдажуманов Кайдар Сейсембаевич – 80 лет.....393

## CONTENTS

### THEORY OF METHODOLOGY

<b>B.B. Burkhanov</b> ON SOME SPECIFIC FEATURES OF ISLAM IN SOVIET CENTRAL ASIA (HISTORIOGRAPHICAL REVIEW).....	4
<b>A.K. Kushkumbayev, T.A. Ualiyev</b> HISTORIOGRAPHY OF YER YEDIGE EPIC IN 2 <sup>ND</sup> HALF OF 19 – 1 <sup>ST</sup> HALF OF 20 CC.....	15
<b>A.T. Капаева</b> THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO THE STUDY OF THE FAMINE OF 1921–1922. IN KAZAKHSTAN.....	27

### HISTORY

<b>O.M. Agatay</b> A BOW AS A TRADITIONAL WEAPON IN THE HEROIC EPICS OF THE KAZAKHS.....	41
<b>A.A. Bekenova</b> CULTURE OF CELEBRATION IN THE EVERYDAY LIFE OF THE PEASANTS OF KAZAKHSTAN IN THE POST-WAR DECADE (1945-1955).....	56
<b>N.K. Düisenova, B.Q. Smagulov</b> SOME ASPECTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MUSEUM SPHERE IN KAZAKHSTAN IN THE 1930S – 1950S.....	70
<b>R. Zakarya</b> PREREQUISITES FOR MIGRATION OF EUROPEAN MIGRANTS TO THE USSR, AS WELL AS THEIR MAIN CATEGORIES.....	81
<b>G.K. Kokebayeva, S.K. Shildebai, E.I. Stamshalov</b> SHYMKENT UPRISING OF 1967.....	98
<b>I.V. Krupko</b> SEMIOSIS OF THE IMAGE AND NARRATIVE OF THE OTRAR LIBRARY: IN SEARCH OF THE LOST ENLIGHTENMENT.....	112
<b>A.D. Kunikeev, N.N. Zhetpisbay</b> THE UPRISING LED BY ZHOLAMAN TLENSHIEV (1822 –1840).....	126
<b>M. Kalybekova</b> PROBLEMS OF THE DESTRUCTION OF NATIONAL IDENTITY IN THE 30-50s XX CENTURY.....	138

**D. Kassymova**

HOW Z. SHAYAKHMETOV AND D. KUNAYEV LEFT THEIR POSTS:  
COMMON AND PARTICULAR.....151

**M.M. Kozybayeva**

SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE COLLECTIVE  
FARMS OF KAZAKHSTAN IN THE POST-WAR PERIOD (1946 – 1953).....163

**O. Kuanbay**

KULSARY BATYR – DIPLOMAT, STATE AND PUBLIC ACTOR (1715 – 1776).....172

**A.I. Kudaibergenova, S.N. Mamytova, R.D. Kubeyev**

FROM ARCHIVAL DATA ON THE STAY OF THE KAZAKH FAMINE REFUGEES IN THE MIGRATION  
DESTINATIONS (1931 – 1933).....185

**G.K. Mukanova, Z.B. Baizhumanova**

LECTURE ON "BEHAISM", EDITOR BUKEIKHANOV AND "WRITING TEXTBOOKS" BY SMAGUL  
SADVOKASOV.....196

**L.N. Nursultanova, A.E. Erkin**

POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC COOPERATION OF KAZAKHSTAN  
AND THE MIDDLE EAST: HISTORICAL AND CHRONOLOGICAL ASPECT.....206

**R.E. Orazov, M.R. Satenova**

AKHMET BAITURSYNOV AND KAZAKH SOCIETY.....217

**N.M. Ospanov**

THE POLICY OF THE SOVIET UNION IN THE UN WITH RESPECT TO THE PROBLEM OF  
REPATRIATION OF PRISONERS OF WAR.....228

**Zh. Oshan**

PARTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT ELTERIS KHAN IN CHINESE SOURCES.....238

**S.R. Sarmanova, M.M. Kozybayeva, K.B. Maslov**

ALIKHAN BUKEIKHAN AND THE DEVELOPMENT  
OF THE ETHNIC IDENTITY OF THE KAZAKHS.....249

**A. Smanova**

SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITY AND FORMATION OF THE WORLDVIEW OF AKHMET ZAKI  
UALIDI.....257

**I.N. Stas, Zh.Y. Nurbayev, M.M. Kozybayeva**

FROM THE HISTORY OF TEMPLE CONSTRUCTION IN AKMOLINSK  
IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE 19<sup>TH</sup> – EARLY 20<sup>TH</sup> CENTURIES  
(ARCHITECTURAL ANALYSIS ON THE MATERIALS OF PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTS).....266

**Sh.B. Tleubayev, S.G. Belous, N.N. Kurmanalina, A.N. Konkabayeva**

ACTIVITIES OF PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS AND NATIONAL INTELLIGENTIA ON THE  
ORGANIZATION OF MUSEUM BUSINESS IN THE BEGINNING OF THE XX CENTURY.....277

**H.M. Tursun, S.T. Shametov, M.S. Isaev**

COMMON FEATURES IN THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF ISLAMIC AND CHRISTIAN  
UNDERGROUND PRAYER PLACES.....289

**Hanna Matt**

GLOBAL CAPTIVITY IN THE FIRST WORLD WAR:  
PRISONERS OF WAR IN TURKESTAN, 1914 – 1916.....301

**K. Cherepanov**

THE ROLE OF D. A. KUNAEV IN SHAPING THE CAPITAL IMAGE OF ALMATY.....314

**A. Shakiyeva**

THE STUDY OF THE JOCHI ULUS IN THE HISTORY OF THE FATHERLAND:  
THE SOVIET PERIOD AND MODERN HISTORY (COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS).....329

**G.A. Shotanova, E.M. Uzhkenov, A.K. Zhumadil**

KAZAKHS OF THE BUKEY HORDE: THE BEGINNING  
OF MODERNIZATION OF TRADITIONAL SOCIETY.....340

**ANTHROPOLOGY**

**S.E. Azhigali, B.A. Ashim**

SOME RESEARCH RESULTS OF THE WEST KAZAKHSTAN INTEGRATED ETHNOGRAPHIC AND  
ETHNOARCHAEOLOGICAL EXPEDITION IN 2021 IN THE KHOBDA REGION.....353

**A. Ganieva, E. Ananyevskaya, B. Khassenova**

COLLECTION OF FINDS OF THE SETTLEMENT OF BOZOK II IN THE NORTHERN  
SARYARKA.....361

**Y.V. Kulagina, B.Q. Smagulov, T.N. Bolsynbek**

SOME ASPECTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MUSEUM  
SPHERE IN KAZAKHSTAN IN THE 1930S – 1950S.....370

**A.E. Rogozhinsky, I.R. Kamaldinov**

MEDIEVAL CITY-SITES ASPARA AND SHARUASHYLYK  
(PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF RESEARCH IN 2021 – 2022).....384

**ANNIVERSARY**

Aldazhumanov Kaidar Seisembayevich – 80 years old.....393

**Редакцияның мекен-жайы:**

050010, Қазақстан Республикасы  
Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28,  
ҚР БҒМ ҒК Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты  
«edu.e-history.kz» журналының редакциясы  
Телефон: +7 (727) 261-67-19  
E-mail: [edu.history@bk.ru](mailto:edu.history@bk.ru)  
Электрондық мекен-жайы: [www.edu.e-history.kz](http://www.edu.e-history.kz)

Журнал 2013 жылдан бастап шығады.

Қазақстан Республикасы Инвестициялар және даму министрлігінің Байланыс, ақпараттандыру және ақпарат комитетінде 2014 ж. 29 қазанында тіркеліп, № 14602-ИА куәлігіне ие болды.